



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,527	07/24/2002	Chun-Hsu Lin	8992-US-PA	6640

31561 7590 06/13/2005

JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2
TAIPEI, 100
TAIWAN

EXAMINER

JORGENSEN, LELAND R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AIR MAIL

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/064,527	Applicant(s) LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Leland R. Jorgensen	Art Unit 2675	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. In view of applicants amendment filed 8 December 2004, the informality objection to claims 3, 6, 10, and 13 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 – 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shigeta et al, USPN 6,646,625 B1.

Claims 1, 8, and 11

Shigeta teaches a brightness correction apparatus for a plasma display. Shigeta, col. 1, lines 8 – 10; col. 2, lines 12 – 15. An inverse λ conversion lookup unit [ABL (automatic brightness control) circuit 31] receives an input signal of a currently displaying pixel [PICEL DATA D] and converts the input signal into a first gray scale data [brightness-tuning pixel data DBL] to be output according to an inverse λ conversion rule. Shigeta, col. 9, line 59 – col. 10, line 34; and figures 6, 7, & 8. An error diffusion unit [error diffusion processing circuit 330 in multi-level grayscale processing circuit 33], coupled to the inverse λ conversion lookup unit,

Art Unit: 2675

receives the first gray scale data, and modifies the first gray scale data into a second gray scale data recorded as a display brightness error of the currently displaying pixel by considering a display brightness error of a neighboring pixel of the currently displaying pixel. Shigeta, col. 12, lines 18 – 26; col. 13, lines 18 – 43; and figures 6, 15, & 16. A gray scale lookup unit [drive control 2] is coupled to the error diffusion unit to receive an integral portion of the second gray scale data and to look up a gray scale allocation table [figure 19] to obtain a sustain pulse number of the currently displaying pixel. Shigeta, col. 3, lines 42 – 46; col. 6, lines 48 – col. 7, line 67; col. 10, line 45 – 51; and figures 2, 3, & 19.

Claims 2 and 5

Shigeta teaches that the error diffusion unit [multi-level grayscale processing circuit 33] comprises an adder [332] to receive the first gray scale data to obtain the second gray scale data by summing the first gray scale data and a weighted display brightness error of the neighboring pixel. Shigeta, col. 12, line 28 - col. 13, line 14; and figure 15. A brightness error lookup circuit [data converter circuit 321 and 323] is coupled to the adder to receive the integral portion of the second gray scale data and to look up a brightness error table [conversion tables in figures 13 and 14] to obtain the brightness error of the currently displaying pixel. Shigeta, col. 11, lines 32 - 36; and figures 10, 13, & 14. A weighted error supply circuit [error diffusion processing circuit 330] is coupled to the adder and the brightness error lookup circuit to save the brightness errors of the sequentially displayed currently displaying pixel and the neighboring pixel as the display brightness errors thereof, and to weight the display brightness error of the neighboring pixel to obtain the weighted display brightness error required by the adder. Shigeta, col. 13, lines 18 – 43.

Claims 4 and 7

Shigeta teaches that the gray scale allocation includes a lookup table [figure 19] for the table integral portion of the second gray scale data and the sustain pulse number, and the integral portion of some different second gray scale data may correspond to the same sustain pulse number, while the brightness table must be modified to comply with the corresponding brightness error. Shigeta, col. 3, lines 42 – 46; col. 6, lines 48 – col. 7, line 67; col. 10, line 45 – 51; and figures 2, 3, & 19.

Claim 12

It is inherent that the step of recording the brightness error includes recording a decimal portion of the second gray scale data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 3, 6, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeta et al., in view of Nagaoka et al. as applied to claims 1, 8, or 11 above, and further in view of Grossman et al., Advanced Engineering Mathematics (New York: Harper & Row, 1988).

Claims 3, 6, 10, and 13

Shigeta teaches, “Incidentally, in the driving for emitting light, the ratio of the number of frequencies of light emissions at respective sub-fields SF1 to SF8 is set non-linearly (that is, to

Art Unit: 2675

the inverse Gamma ratio, $Y=X^{2.2}$). This allows the non-linear characteristics (the Gamma characteristics) of the input pixel data D to be compensated for.” Shigeta, col. 11, lines 10 – 15.

Shigeta does not specifically teach that the lookup table for the integral portion of the second gray scale data G and the brightness error E, and the brightness error table is established by an actual measured gray scale function of brightness $B_0(G)$ and an ideal gray scale function of brightness B(G) as: $E=[(B(G)-B(G_0))/B_0(G)]*G$.

$[(B(G)-B(G_0))/B_0(G)]$ is the well known percent error function: the difference between the actual value and the ideal value divided by the actual value. See e.g. Grossman et al., Advanced Engineering Mathematics (New York: Harper & Row, 1988), p. 59.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the percent error function with the brightness correction apparatus of Shigeta to easily calculate the error.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeta in view of Henlin, USPN 4,708,346.

Claim 9

Shigeta teaches that the error diffusion unit [multi-level grayscale processing circuit 33] comprises an adder [332] to receive the first gray scale data to obtain the second gray scale data by summing the first gray scale data and a weighted display brightness error of the neighboring pixel. Shigeta, col. 12, line 28 - col. 13, line 14; and figure 15. A brightness error lookup circuit [data converter circuit 321 and 323] is coupled to the adder to receive the integral portion of the second gray scale data and to look up a brightness error table [conversion tables in figures

Art Unit: 2675

13 and 14] to obtain the brightness error of the currently displaying pixel. Shigeta, col. 11, lines 32 - 36; and figures 10, 13, & 14. A weighted error supply circuit [error diffusion processing circuit 330] is coupled to the adder and the brightness error lookup circuit to save the brightness errors of the sequentially displayed currently displaying pixel and the neighboring pixel as the display brightness errors thereof, and to weight the display brightness error of the neighboring pixel to obtain the weighted display brightness error required by the adder. Shigeta, col. 13, lines 18 - 43.

Shigeta does not specifically teach a subtractor.

Henlin teaches a subtractor. Henlin, col. 1, lines 6 - 45.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the subtractor as taught by Henlin with the brightness correction apparatus as taught by Shigeta to more quickly add and subtract the neighboring pixel data.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

8. In view of applicants amendment filed 8 December 2004, the double patenting objection is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2675

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hsu et al., USPN 6,774,873 B2, teaches an error diffusion method on a plasma display panel.


Medina et al., USPN 6,359,389 B1, teaches an gamma function for a flat panel display.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leland R. Jorgensen whose telephone number is 571-272-7768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:00 am through 6:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lrj


DENNIS-DOON CHOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER